Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools Campus Name: CLARENDON H S

Campus ID: 065901001

District Name: CLARENDON ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

	Stat		Compus	African	Hisponi	a Wikita	American				Special		EII	Fomolo	Mala	Migropt
STAAR Percent At							Indian se-in 1 Le			Races	Eu	DISAUV	ELL	remaie	wate	Migrant
End of Course																
English I	2016 63%	65%	65%	*	83%	60%	-	*	-	*	*	59%	-	75%	57%	-
	2015 66%	6 83%	83%	*	56%	91%	-	-	-	*	*	67%	-	77%	85%	-
English II	2016 66%	6 79%	79%	*	*	86%	-	-	-	*	*	74%	-	100%	72%	-
0	2015 69%		85%	*	*	88%	-	-	-	*	*	69%	-	94%	78%	-
Algebra I	2016 76%	6 82%	77%	*	*	83%	-	*	_	*	*	67%	-	71%	82%	-
	2015 77%		83%	*	*	93%	-	-	-	*	*	74%	-	82%	83%	-
Biology	2016 86%	6 92%	92%	*	*	94%	-	*	-	*	*	88%	-	100%	88%	-
0,	2015 88%	6 90%	90%	*	*	93%	-	-	-	*	*	81%	-	91%	89%	-
U.S. History	2016 90%	6 94%	94%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	-	*	93%	-	100%	89%	-
	2015 88%	6 88%	88%	*	83%	91%	-	-	*	*	*	79%	-	89%	87%	-
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 74%		80%	69%	59%	84%	-	*	-	100%	23%	74%	-	87%	76%	-
	2015 73%	% 79%	86%	75%	61%	91%	-	-	*	89%	*	73%	-	88%	84%	-
Reading	2016 72%	6 80%	72%	*	73%	74%	-	*	-	*	*	67%	-	84%	66%	-
	2015 74%	% 84%	84%	*	62%	89%	-	-	-	*	*	68%	-	87%	82%	-
Mathematics	2016 75%	6 75%	77%	*	*	83%	-	*	-	*	*	67%	-	71%	82%	-
	2015 73%	6 78%	83%	*	*	93%	-	-	-	*	*	74%	-	82%	83%	-
Science	2016 77%	6 83%	92%	*	*	94%	-	*	-	*	*	88%	-	100%	88%	-
	2015 75%	% 79%	90%	*	*	93%	-	-	-	*	*	81%	-	91%	89%	-
Social Studies	2016 76%	6 92%	94%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	-	*	93%	-	100%	89%	-
	2015 74%	6 59%	88%	*	83%	91%	-	-	*	*	*	79%	-	89%	87%	-
STAAR Percent at	Final Leve	l II or Ab	ove													
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 42%	6 42%	48%	13%	36%	52%	-	*	-	86%	5%	35%	-	38%	53%	-
	2015 38%	6 40%	55%	42%	35%	61%	-	-	*	33%	*	43%	-	53%	56%	-
Reading	2016 42%	6 46%	40%	*	36%	47%	-	*	-	*	*	25%	-	32%	44%	-
	2015 40%	6 44%	55%	*	31%	62%	-	-	-	*	*	38%	-	55%	54%	-
Mathematics	2016 40%	6 35%	35%	*	*	33%	-	*	-	*	*	27%	-	36%	35%	-
	2015 36%		33%	*	*	41%	-	-	-	*	*	21%	-	9%	41%	-
Science	2016 44%	6 49%	79%	*	*	81%	-	*	-	*	*	50%	-	71%	82%	-

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/...2Freport_card.sas&ptype=H&level=campus&search=district&namenum=Clarendon&district=065901&campus=065901001[2/6/2017 12:56:13 PM]

2015-16 Federal Report Card

015-16 Federal Report	Card																		
	2015	40%	43%	69	9%	*	*	77%	-		-	-	*	*	56%	-	73%	68%	-
Social Studies	2016	45%	47%	53	8%	*	*	56%	-		-	-	-	*	60%	-	36%	67%	_
	2015		32%		5%	*	67%	61%	-		-	*	*	*	71%	-	63%	67%	-
STAAR Percent at		III Adv	anco	ч															
STAAR Fercent at	Levei	iii Auv	ance	u															
All Grades																			
All Subjects	2016 2015		13% 12%		2%	0% 0%	14% 10%	11% 11%	-		*	-	43% 11%	0% *	5% 13%	-	8% 3%	14%	-
	2015	14%	1270)%	0%	10%	1170	-		-		1170		13%	-	3%	14%	-
Reading	2016	16%	15%	5 4	%	*	0%	6%	-		*	-	*	*	3%	-	4%	4%	-
	2015	15%	15%	6	%	*	0%	8%	-		-	-	*	*	8%	-	0%	9%	-
Mathematics	2016	17%	10%	5 10)%	*	*	6%	-		*	-	*	*	0%	-	7%	12%	-
	2015		12%)%	*	*	14%	-		-	-	*	*	16%	-	0%	14%	-
0 ·	0040	450/	4.00/			*	*	040/			+		*	*	100/		000/	440/	
Science	2016 2015		18% 9%		8% 8%	*	*	31% 13%	-		-	-	*	*	13% 19%	-	29% 0%	41% 18%	-
	2010	1470	070		,,,,			1070							1070		070	1070	
Social Studies	2016		11%		8%	*	*	15%	-		-	-	-	*	13%	-	7%	17%	-
	2015	18%	8%	18	8%	*	33%	13%	-		-	*	*	*	14%	-	11%	27%	-
STAAR Participati	on (All	2	016	99%	99%	98%	89%	100%	99%	-	*	-	100%		97%	-	97%	99%	-
		2	015	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Reading		2	016	99%	99%	97%	89%	100%	98%	-	*	-	*	100%	97%	-	93%	100%	-
3			015	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	100%		-	100%	100%	-
Mathematica		2	040	1000/	4000/	4000/	*	4000/	4000/		*		*	4000/	1000/		4000/	1000/	
Mathematics			016 015	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	-	-	100%	100% 100%		-	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
				/-	,.			,.	,				,.		,				
Science			016	99%	100%	100%	*	*	100%	-	*	-	*	*	100%	-	100%	100%	-
		2	015	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Social Studies		2	016	98%	96%	97%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	-	*	94%	-	100%	95%	-
		2	015	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
STAAR Participati	on Res	sults b	y Ass	sessme	ent Typ	e for Stu	dents S	erved i	n Specia	al Edu	ucati	on Setti	ngs (All	Grade	s)				
Reading Tests																			
% of Participants	1464		2016	98%	100%	100%	-	* 1	00%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	*	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR/EOC			2016	13%	19%	20%	-	* 2	25%	-	-	-	-	20%	17%	-	*	29%	-

% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	65%	80%	-	*	75%	-	-	-	-	80%	83%	-	*	71%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	15%	0%	-	*	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	*	0%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	0%	0%	-	*	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	*	0%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	100%	1 00 %	*	*	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	*	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	17%	13%	*	*	0%	-	-	-	-	13%	20%	-	*	20%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	67%	88%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	-	88%	80%	-	*	80%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	17%	0%	*	*	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	*	0%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	0%	0%	*	*	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	*	0%	-

'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

2015-16 Federal Report Card

- '-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
- 'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
- '?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

															Percent
															of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American					-	-				Measures
Denfermen en Oteter Otete	Students	sAmerican	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	/ Ed	Monitore	d) +	Met	Eligible	e Met
Performance Status - State State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	60% Y	00%	00%	60% Y	00%	00%	00%	00%	60% Y	60%	00%		3	3	100
0	Y			I					T				3 1	3 1	
	r												•		100
Writing	V												0	0	400
Science	Y			V									1	1	100
Social Studies	Y			Y									2 7	2 7	100
Total													1	1	100
Performance Status - Federa	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	0170	01 /0	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	0170	0170	n/a			
Mathematics	N				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a			
Mathematics					Π/α	Π/a	Π/a	n/a				Π/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Y			Y					Y				3	3	100
Mathematics	Y												1	1	100
Total													4	4	100
Federal Graduation Status (Graduation Target Met Reason Code *** Total	Y a												1 1	1 1	100 100
District: Met Federal Limits	on Alterna	tive Assess	sments												
Reading	,														
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics	,														
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total Overall Tetal													10	12	100
Overall Total													12	12	100
 Participation uses ELL (*** Federal Graduation Rate a = Graduation Rate b = Four-year Graduation Blank cells above represent n/a Indicates the student graduation 	e Reason ate Goal o aduation F t student o	Codes: of 90% Rate Target group indica	of 88% itors that o	c do not n	c = Safe H I = Five-yea neet the mi	ar Grad	uation Ra	te Targ			ence from	the prio	or yea	r rate ar	nd the Goal
									Two	or			EL		
	All	Africa	n		۸m	erican		Pacific			on Spe	cial	(Curr		ELL
		nts Americ		anic V		dian	Asian	Islande			-		•		(Current)
Performance Rates	Gluder							.siandt		00 013	Lat L	-		u uj	

2015-16 Federal Report Card

Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	53	*	8	38	-	*	-	*	23	*	-	n/a
Standard	00		U U									
Total Tests	73	*	10	52	-	*	-	*	35	*	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	73%	*	80%	73%	-	*	-	*	66%	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	24	*	*	15	-	*	-	*	10	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	31	*	*	18	-	*	-	*	15	*	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	77%	*	*	83%	-	*	-	*	67%	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	22	*	*	15	-	*	-	*	7	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	24	*	*	16	-	*	-	*	8	*	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	92%	*	*	94%	-	*	-	*	88%	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	29	*	*	26	-	-	-	-	14	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	30	*	*	26	-	-	-	-	15	*	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	97%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	-	93%	*	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme												
Number Participating	75	8	11	53	-	*	-	*	36	10	n/a	-
Total Students	77	9	11	54	-	*	-	*	37	10	n/a	-
Participation Rate	97%	89%	100%	98%	-	*	-	*	97%	100%	n/a	-
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse												
Number Participating	31	*	5	18	-	*	-	*	15	8	n/a	-
Total Students	31	*	5	18	-	*	-	*	15	8	n/a	-
Participation Rate	100%	*	100%	100%	-	*	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	-

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Federal Graduation Rates	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	uation Rate	(Gr 9-12): C	lass of 201	5								
Number Graduated	25	*	*	16	-	*	-	*	9	3	-	n/a
Total in Class	27	*	*	17	-	*	-	*	10	5	-	-
Graduation Rate	92.6%	*	*	94.1%	-	*	-	*	90.0%	60.0%	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	uation Rate	(Gr 9-12): C	lass of 201	4								
Number Graduated	34	**	6	22	-	-	-	*	10	5	-	n/a
Total in Class	38	**	6	24	-	-	-	*	13	5	-	-
Graduation Rate	89.5%	66.7%	100.0%	91.7%	-	-	-	*	76.9%	100.0%	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	4									
Number Graduated	34	**	6	22	-	-	-	*	10	5	-	n/a
Total in Class	38	**	6	24	-	-	-	*	13	5	-	-
Graduation Rate	89.5%	66.7%	100.0%	91.7%	-	-	-	*	76.9%	100.0%	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments Reading

Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a n/a
Mathematics	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: NoPriority School Reason: N/A

Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year.Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus	S		
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	14.5	78.4%	83.0%	74.7%
Masters	4.0	21.6%	17.0%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty

Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		14	2	16
Total Number of Classes		81	7	88
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	72	7	79
	Percent	88.89%	100.00%	89.77%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	9	0	9
	Percent	11.11%	0.00%	10.23%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of T	eachers
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	0	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	Campus	District	State
2013-14	71.4%	71.4%	57.5%
2012-13	80.5%	80.5%	56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

```
https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/...2Freport_card.sas&ptype=H&level=campus&search=district&namenum=Clarendon&district=065901&campus=065901001[2/6/2017 12:56:13 PM]
```

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment